

The Article Review

The Title of the Paper:					
Reviewer: (Name, Surname, Affiliation, City, Country) ¹			Reviewer's Academic Discipline		
<i>Dear Sir/Madam, please answer the following questions by filling the form with the sign X:</i>			Evaluation		
			Yes	Partially	No
1	Is the topic of the article aligned with the journal's aims&scope?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Can the text be regarded as an original scientific article?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Does the title of the article correspond with its content?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Does the title of the article align with the issue presented?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Does the abstract offer an adequate picture of the entire article?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Is the abstract presented in structured form?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Does the article clearly articulate the purpose of the study?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Are the theses or research questions articulated with clarity in the article?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	Does the study add any novel findings to current knowledge?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	Does the article discuss methodological assumptions?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	Was the research project appropriately planned, and was the selection of methods clearly explained?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	Is the structure of the study clear and relevant to its intended objectives?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	Were the criteria for selecting examples (case) clearly articulated and adequately justified?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	Is the example (case) in the study appropriate and representative?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	Are the results credible?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16	Are the results important?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17	Are the results presented novel?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18	Is the interpretation of the results clearly presented and adequately supported by the evidence adduced?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19	Are the conclusions logically valid and justified by the evidence adduced?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20	Are all the graphs, figures and tables adequate and necessary?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	Is the linguistic level of the text appropriate?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22	Is the paper's terminology proper and consistent with the standards?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

¹ Information about the reviewer is not shared with the author of the text..

23	Are the references up-to-date?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24	Have the most important previous studies been cited?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
25	Taking into consideration academic literature, is the article original or innovative?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
26	Is the paper's subject matter up-to-date?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27	Did the author successfully attain the purpose of the study delineated in the introduction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
28	Is the selection of the cited source materials appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
29	Is the selection of literature employed accurate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Reasons for the Review and possible instructions for the Author:

30	The quality of information is	Very good	Good	Average	Poor
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

31	The scale of presented achievements is:	Worldwide	National	Local
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

32	The estimated interest in the article shall be:	Worldwide	National	Local
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Reasons for the Review and possible instructions for the Author:

33	The usefulness of the article's publication is:	Large	Small	None
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

34	Final evaluation of the article	Excellent	Very good	Good	Poor	The article cannot be accepted
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

35	The article can be accepted	in the presented form and length.		<input type="checkbox"/>
		after applying the Reviewer's corrections.		<input type="checkbox"/>
	The article cannot be accepted.			<input type="checkbox"/>

Reasons for the Review and possible instructions for the Author:

Declaration:

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that there exists no conflict of interest regarding the article under review.

.....

Date

.....

Signature